UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,641	02/04/2004	Richard E. Raby	59525US002	3710
32692 7590 01/26/2007 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 33427	, \ 5122 2427	,	BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
SI. FAUL, MIN 3	ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONT	HS	01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	in					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/771,641	RABY ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of the	Melba Bumgarner	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 No</u>	ovember 2006					
	<u> </u>					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/17/06,4/28/05,7/30/04.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3-11, 22, 24, 27, 28, 30, 38, 42-50, 58, 60, 63, 64, 74, and 76-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by "the practitioner adjusts the orthodontic appliance" and reference to orientation of the planar guide to a plane of the orthodontic appliance as the orthodontic appliance is not positively claimed in the method steps or the system. If it were to be positively claimed, it would not be clear as to what is a midsagittal plane, a midlateral plane, a midfrontal plane, occlusalgingival axis of the orthodontic appliance, i.e. there is no structure given to the orthodontic appliance to identify the planes and axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,641

Art Unit: 3732

Page 3

4. Claims 1-13, 18, 19, 27, 28, 31-35, 37-55, 67-71, and 73-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chapoulaud et al. (2002/0028417). Chapoulaud et al. disclose a method, system and medium comprising rendering a digital representation of a dental arch within a three-dimensional environment and displaying a planar guide within the threedimensional environment as a visual aid to a practitioner in the placement of an orthodontic appliance (figure 4). The planar guide is displayed proximate surface of a tooth. The planar guide is generated relative to a coordinate system. Patentable weight is not given to the inferentially claimed elements. The planar guides are displayed as semi-transparent twodimensional plane within the three-dimensional environment comprising at least two lines. Chapoulaud et al. show storing data that describes attributes for orthodontic appliances [0084] that include parameters such as torque angles. Stored three-dimensional data of the teeth would include dimensions and distances of teeth. Chapoulaud et al. show displaying reference markers of points or tic marks at discrete intervals. A parallel object can be placed in the threedimensional environment such that it is a constant distance to the displayed contour lines on the guide. Chapoulaud et al. show orthodontic appliance of a bracket. Chapoulaud et al. show the computing device coupled via network to database (figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,641

Art Unit: 3732

6. Claims 14-17, 20-26, 36, 56-64, and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chapoulaud et al. Chapoulaud et al. disclose a method and system that shows the limitations as described above; however, they do not show planar guides having different colors. Chapoulaud et al. teaches display of the three-dimensional teeth with each tooth in a different color. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method and system to have the planar guides in different colors in order to be able to identify them by color in view of Chapoulaud et al. Chapoulaud et al. show adjusting the color and transparency as noted by adjustments in brightness and shading disclosed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store planar guide data of attributes received input from the user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to size or scale the guides with respect to the displayed tooth or teeth. It would have been an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to visually enclose a volume of two planar guides.

Page 4

7. Claims 29, 30, 65, 66, and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chapoulaud et al. in view of Kopelman et al. (2003014509). Chapoulaud et al. disclose a method and system that shows the limitations as described above; however, they do not show data of rules for orthodontic appliance. Kopelman et al. teach a method and system comprising data 110 of rules for applying the orthodontic appliance. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method and system to comprises data of rules in order to obtain a desired outcome of positioning and orientation in view of Kopelman et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,641

Art Unit: 3732

Election/Restrictions

Page 5

8. Upon further consideration the restriction requirement is withdrawn because the claims require a similar field of search with the exception of a few dependent claims of the method.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriquez can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner